



**12th Issue** March 2025

# Public Policy BULLETIN

# Effects of Automated Monitoring on Achieving Air Pollution Control Targets: Evidence from China

### Lin YANG, Yatang LIN, Jin WANG, Fangyuan PENG



#### **Policy Focus**

Air pollution is a critical issue affecting public health and the environment worldwide. In major countries around the world, local governments are responsible for the enforcement of national environmental regulations. However, inadequate monitoring and misaligned incentives often lead to strategic responses at the local level, resulting in localized cleanup rather than citywide reductions.

Advanced monitoring technology has been considered as a solution to this problem. In 2014, in response to increasing public concerns about air quality, the central government of China commenced an unprecedented "war on pollution". Air quality targets were allocated to provincial governments, and then to city governments. A nationwide, real-time, and automated monitoring system was introduced across three waves of cities (Figure 1), which left local governments with virtually no ability to manipulate data directly.

This policy bulletin introduces a study by Lin Yang, Yatang Lin, Jin Wang, and Fangyuan Peng (2024) that examines the impact of China's automated monitoring system on achieving air pollution control targets, investigating

2

whether technology-aided monitoring can lead to better enforcement or localized cleanup efforts.

#### Study Methodology

In China's practice, air pollution reduction performance is calculated by averaging the pollution readings across ground monitoring stations. To fill the spatial gaps in the ground monitoring network, the study measures air quality by utilizing satellite-derived PM2.5 concentration in grid cells covering the whole of China from 2008 to 2017. Combined with information about monitoring stations' locations, and city-level socioeconomic data to control for confounding factors, the study uses a spatial differencein-differences (DiD) design to examine the difference between pollution reduction in monitored areas and unmonitored surrounding areas.

Furthermore, to explore variations in responses across cities, the analysis incorporates political-economy factors, including preexisting data manipulation, local officials' political incentives, and public pressure for cleaner air, by extracting data from multiple sources.

#### Findings and Analysis

#### Uneven Pollution Controls across Space

The empirical analysis reveals that air pollution in areas within a 3 km radius of monitors is 3.2 percent lower than that in the unmonitored areas after the automation rollout, while pollution levels in monitored and unmonitored areas exhibited similar trends before automation, suggesting that local governments strategically focused their pollution control efforts on areas adjacent to monitors.

Meanwhile, the impact of monitor automation on citylevel pollution is negative but small and statistically insignificant. To further explore the spatial pattern of possible pollution relocation inside the city, the study analyzes the impact at different distances from monitors, from 3 km to 150 km. The results show that the effect of automation on pollution reduction decreases monotonically with distance to the monitor, slowly approaching zero at the distance beyond 120 km, suggesting uneven pollution control across space.



Figure 1 The Timeline of Monitoring Station Automation

Note: This figure displays the spatial distribution of monitoring stations that were automated in three waves, which took place in 2012, 2013, and 2014, respectively.

#### Mechanisms of Localized Cleanup

By reviewing numerous government policy documents, the researchers found that local governments often explicitly mentioned strategic cleaning measures in their official documents. They identified 121 documents from 72 cities that mentioned specific targeted measures near the monitors, such as coal use regulations, dust suppression, traffic restrictions, etc.

For empirical tests of these mechanisms, the study examines how monitor automation affects the spatial patterns of industrial activities. Using satellite-based data on thermal anomalies, the results show a 10.1 percent reduction in the probability of observing industrial activities within a 3 km radius of monitors relative to unmonitored areas. Also, the analysis of satellite-based relative humidity clearly reveals that automation increases the relative humidity near monitors.

#### Factors behind Differentiated Responses across Cities

<u>Data Manipulation before Automation:</u> Cities with a history of data manipulation under previous monitoring systems show larger pollution gaps between monitored and unmonitored areas following the rollout of automated monitors.

Local Officials' Political Incentives: As the nationwide antipollution campaign strengthens the tie between monitored pollution levels and local officials' performance evaluation, city leaders with a higher likelihood of promotion than the provincial median have a greater inclination toward strategic cleaning. Also, greater strategic responses are observed among cities with pollution reduction targets.

<u>Public Pressure:</u> Stronger strategically targeted responses take place in provinces with low levels of civic engagement in environmental issues, which suggests complementarity between top-down and bottom-up approaches in achieving environmental targets.

#### Additional Costs of Uneven Pollution Controls

<u>Reexamination of Environmental Performance</u>: As the comparison of pollution control results between satellite and ground-based measures shows, the strategic response at the local level worsened the monitors' representativeness in measuring citywide air quality. It could lead to biased policy evaluations and subsequent actions since higher-level regulators often rely on monitor readings to reward or punish local officials.

Public Policy

**BULLETIN** 

Implications for Environmental Justice: As more developed and urbanized areas tend to contain more monitors, strategic cleaning tends to bring about greater health and amenity benefits from pollution reduction for highincome and urban residents. These consequences could be further amplified by large disparities in the utilization of health services, awareness of pollution-related risks, and prevention measures between rural and urban areas.

#### Recommendations

#### Integrate Multiple Data Sources

The study reveals that the current coverage of the monitoring network in China is insufficient to preclude local officials' strategic responses. In this case, satellitebased pollution measures, which can fill the gap in the spatial coverage of ground monitors, can help evaluators better interpret the monitored data.

Also, advanced monitoring technologies, such as mobile monitors and micro-monitors, can be leveraged to broaden network coverage and further supplement data sources. The evaluators should integrate information from the ground monitoring system, satellite-based data, mobile monitors, observations by the public, and third-party auditors, for evaluation accuracy and better regulatory outcomes.

#### **Enhance Public Participation**

Regarding the growing public awareness about environmental issues and the increasing complexity of pollution monitoring, the country's environmental authority should engage local citizens in pollution monitoring and management more actively.

Using e-governance has become a highly cost-effective approach for authorities at different levels to promote public engagement. For example, some local governments have launched real-time disclosure of monitoring data or provided speedy follow-up to public claims on social media platforms.

#### Main Reference

Yang, Lin, Yatang Lin, Jin Wang, and Fangyuan Peng. "Achieving Air Pollution Control Targets with Technology-Aided Monitoring: Better Enforcement or Localized Efforts?." American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 16, no. 4 (2024): 280-315.

## Public Policy BULLETIN



Lin YANG is an Assistant Professor of Urban Governance and Design at the Society Hub of Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou). She received her Ph.D.in Applied Economics from Cornell University.

Her research focuses on environmental and urban challenges in China using high-resolution and highfrequency data. Her current research covers various topics on environmental and energy regulations, urban transport systems, and climate change with a focus on developing countries.



Yatang LIN is an Assistant Professor of Economics at the Department of Economics and Division of Public Policy at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. She earned her PhD in Economics from the London School of

Economics and Political Science. Her research is in the field of Urban Economics, Environmental Economics, and Development Economics. Her research focuses on the economic and environmental impacts of infrastructure and environmental and social challenges in cities.



**Jin WANG** is an associate professor of Social Science at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology. She earned her PhD in Economics from the London School of Economics and Political Science. Her research is in the

field of Development Economics, Public Economics, and Urban Economics. She worked on a variety of topics, including place-based policies, government hierarchy and incentives, labor mobility barriers inherent in China's household registration system, and local enforcement of environmental regulations.



**Fangyuan PENG** is currently a postdoctoral research fellow in the Department of Economics, Faculty of Business and Economics, the University of Hong Kong. She obtained her Ph.D. in Urban Governance and Design from

the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology in 2023. Her research focuses on environmental economics, urban economics, and international trade. She specializes in using remote sensing big data and spatial analysis methods for empirical research.

#### Follow Us on Social Media



#### **Contact Us**

- (852) 3469 2721
- ppolpr@ust.hk
- The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology c/o Division of Public Policy (PPOL) Room 4611, Academic Building, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong

4